UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

IN RE: PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE (PPA) PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This document relates to:

Anderson, et al. v. American Home Products Corp., et al., No. 02-651

briefing to the Order to Show Cause.

MDL NO. 1407

ORDER DISMISSING
PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS FOR
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

This matter comes before the court on the Order to Show Cause issued in this matter on May 16, 2005. That order required the plaintiff, Anna Ford, to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The court received no responsive

Since the court granted Ms. Ford's attorneys' motion to withdraw on January 21, 2003, Ms. Ford has represented herself pro se in this action. The court mailed a copy of the May 16, 2005 Order to Show Cause to Ms. Ford at the address listed on the docket sheet, which her attorneys had provided as her last known address. The mail

was returned marked "Not Deliverable As Addressed." Although it is possible that Ms. Ford never received the Order to Show Cause, the court cannot keep Ms. Ford's case open indefinitely, in case she decides to pursue it at a later date. Such a course of action places an undeserved burden on defendants, and diminishes the efficiency of the court system. Ms. Ford has an obligation to attend to her case, which includes keeping the court informed as to changes in her address. See, e.g., King v. Atiyeh, 814 F. 2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987) ("Pro se litigants must follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants.").

The court, being fully advised, hereby ORDERS that all of plaintiff Anna Ford's claims are DISMISSED with prejudice.

DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 6th day of July, 2005.

Barbara yacobs Rothstein

U.S. District Court Judge